

Numerical Analysis 25

Goal: Find a method for solving linear systems.

without doing a matrix inverse!

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n1}x_1 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{We will use a very} \\ \text{basic method:} \\ \text{Gaussian Elimination.} \end{array}$$

BUT to ask a computer to do this, we must be very precise in using the method... we need exact steps.

START - by solving a simple system by hand... really think about the steps we take.

Use this example to check your code...

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 3x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 = 0 \quad \text{EQ1} \\ 6x_1 - 2x_2 + 2x_3 = 6 \quad \text{EQ2} \\ -9x_1 + 7x_2 + x_3 = -1 \quad \text{EQ3} \end{array} \right\}$$

For Gaussian Elimination we eliminate x_1, x_2 from EQ3 and x_1 from EQ2 so we can then do back substitution.

1. Eliminate x_1 from EQ2 and EQ3.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{EQ3} + 3 \text{ times EQ1: } x_2 - 2x_3 = -1 \\ \text{EQ2} - 2 \text{ times EQ1: } 2x_2 + 4x_3 = 6 \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} 3x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ 2x_2 + 4x_3 = 6 \\ x_2 - 2x_3 = -1 \end{array}$$

2. Eliminate x_2 from EQ3

$$\text{EQ3} - \frac{1}{2} \text{EQ2: } -4x_3 = -4$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} 3x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ 2x_2 + 4x_3 = 6 \\ -4x_3 = -4 \end{array}$$

$$x_3 = \frac{-1}{4}[-4], x_2 = \frac{6 - 4x_3}{2}, x_1 = \frac{1}{3}[0 + 2x_2 + x_3]$$

3. Solve for $x_3 = 1, x_2 = 1, x_1 = 1$

Because of the form this part is easy!

ON THE COMPUTER WE USE ARRAYS and ELEMENTARY ROW OPERATIONS.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 & -1 \\ 6 & -2 & 2 \\ -9 & 7 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 6 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

we will talk about how to do this.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 3 & -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 6 & -2 & 2 & 6 \\ -9 & 7 & 1 & -1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{same basic operations}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 3 & -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & -4 \end{array} \right]$$

so it looks like our goal is to reduce to upper triangular.

GENERALIZE THIS.

In General we reduce $A\vec{x} = b$

$$\Rightarrow [A | b] = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Elementary Row Operations

In $n-1$ steps to the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}_{11} & \dots & \hat{a}_{1n} & \hat{b}_1 \\ 0 & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \hat{a}_{nn} & \hat{b}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \hat{a} & \uparrow \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \downarrow \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow U\vec{x} = g$$

Then using back substitution ... SOLVE FOR $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & \dots & u_{1n} \\ 0 & u_{22} & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \end{bmatrix}$$

so $x_n = \frac{g_n}{u_{nn}}$

start at The largest x_n

$$x_{n-1} = \frac{g_{n-1} - u_{n-1,n} x_n}{u_{n-1,n-1}}$$

backward until you reach the first one x_1

and in general

$$x_k = \frac{g_k - \{ \text{all the elements in the } k^{\text{th}} \text{ row } k \rightarrow n^{\text{th}} \text{ col.} \}}{u_{kk}}$$

$u_{k,k+1} x_{k+1} + u_{k,k+2} x_{k+2} + \dots + u_{kn} x_n$

We know we need elementary row operations to get from $A\vec{x} = b$ to $U\vec{x} = g$

By hand we must inspect the eqns to know what to do ... how do we make a computer do this. ??

- Start by assuming that none of the elements in the ~~first~~ position ^{are zero} ... later we will relax this. ^{pivot}

STEP 1. Eliminate x_1 from eqns $2 \rightarrow n$.

multiplier: $m_{i1} = \frac{a_{i1}^{(1)}}{a_{11}^{(1)}} \quad i=2 \dots n$ here the superscript (1) denotes the first operation.

← this is why we need $a_{11} \neq 0$

Subtract m_{i1} times row 1 from row $i \quad i=2 \dots n$.

$$a_{ij}^{(2)} = a_{ij}^{(1)} - m_{i1} a_{1j}^{(1)} \quad j=2 \dots n$$

$$b_i^{(2)} = b_i^{(1)} - m_{i1} b_1^{(1)}$$

$$i=2 \dots n$$

↓
start at $i=2$
because we
don't operate on
first row.

↓
we start @ $j=2$ not $j=1$
because the first column will
all be zero!

STEP 2. Eliminate x_2 from eqns $3 \rightarrow n$.

$$m_{i,2} = \frac{a_{i,2}^{(2)}}{a_{2,2}^{(2)}} \quad \text{again } i=3 \dots n$$

STEP K Eliminate x_k from eqns $k+1 \rightarrow n$

we assume all
previous operations
were successful.

multiplier: $m_{ik} = \frac{a_{ik}^{(k)}}{a_{kk}^{(k)}} \quad i=k+1, \dots, n$

IN GENERAL

← pivot $\neq 0$

Subtract m_{ik} times row k from row i for $i=k+1 \dots n$

$$a_{ij}^{(k+1)} = a_{ij}^{(k)} - m_{ik} a_{kj}^{(k)} \quad j=k+1 \dots, n$$

$$b_i^{(k+1)} = b_i^{(k)} - m_{ik} b_k^{(k)}$$

STEP $n-1$ is our last step ... this leaves us with: $U\vec{x} = \vec{g}$

~~PROBLEM / LOOK AT~~

Now how do we deal
with zeros in our pivot element?

PARTIAL PIVOTING.

Say $a_{11} = 0$ then our ~~whole~~ method breaks down!

We can deal with this by interchanging rows...

1. look at all the elements in the first column:

choose the largest one ... largest absolute value.
This is in row k .

2. interchange rows 1 and k in the matrix
3. Proceed with elimination.

We could do this at any point... say $a_{22}^{(2)} = 0$ then
we search all elements in the second column
below row 2:

$$a_{32}^{(2)} \quad a_{42}^{(2)} \quad \dots \quad a_{n2}^{(2)}$$

and interchange with $\max |a_{2k}^{(2)}|$

IN PRACTICE: We do this at every stage, regardless of whether or not there is a zero.

This is actually GOOD for the error in our numerical method!

JUST KEEP TRACK
OF THE PIVOTS!!

If we partial pivot regardless of a zero
then we guarantee that

print pivots
and A to
check work

$$|m_{ik}| < 1$$

this is good because if m_{ik} was large
we could end up with VERY large #'s

EX - The a_{nn} element is multiplied
by ALL of the m_{ik} .

Only error in the method is from rounding
avoiding large #'s helps avoid rounding error.

PSEUDO CODE (Together)

A = } enter these
b =

Enter the Matrices.

check the size of A and b ... they should match.

$[n, m] = \text{size}(A)$ $\longrightarrow$ A should be square!
if not stop!
if not stop!

Check that They work.

piv = (1:n)' initialize the pivot order [1, 2, 3, ..., n]

originally they are in order

start elimination steps.

$\rightarrow$ for $k = 1:n-1$ $n-1$ steps.

[col-max. index] = max(abs(A(k:n, k)))

index = index + (k-1)

$\longrightarrow$ do this because of how works.

index will be the row where the max is found

if index $\neq$ k

3 things to switch:

[not equal to k Then pivot.]

#1 Switch rows in A:

tempA = A(k, k:n)

A(k, k:n) = A(index, k:n)

A(index, k:n) = tempA.

if it is already in correct spot we leave it alone.

$\max(\text{abs}(A(2:3, 2)))$

only counts last two rows

so we need to add k-1

You write these.

#2

Switch rows in b:

tempb = b(k)

b(k) = b(index)

b(index) = tempb

~~switch rows~~

we also

keep track of the pivots

#3

Switch rows in pivot.

check what we are getting in the end.

end.

form multipliers ... some store these in the soon to be zeros in the pivot column. others define a new matrix m.

A ₁	B ₁	C ₁	D ₁
M	A ₂	B ₂	C ₂
M	M	A ₃	B ₃
M	M	M	A ₄

$A(k+1:n, k) = A(k+1:n, k) / A(k, k)$

$\rightarrow$ gives the multiplier for each remaining row. STORES in "zero" spots.

for $i = k+1:n$

$A(i, k+1:n) = A(i, k+1:n) - A(i, k) * A(k, k+1:n)$

end

does the row operation on A

We don't actually set these to zero.

$b(k+1:n) = b(k+1:n) - A(k+1:n, k) b(k)$

does the row operation on b.

end.

Then Solve the Uppertriangular System ...

loop

$x = \text{zeros}(n, 1)$

$x(n) = b(n)/A(n, n)$

for $i = n-1:-1:1$

$x(i) = (b(i) - A(i, i+1:n) * x(i+1:n)) ./ A(i, i)$

end

x is your solution matrix!

NOTE. This is approximately $\frac{1}{3}n^3$ operations
so for a LARGE system it is not great
Computationally Expensive.

NEXT TIME Iterative Methods